

REMARKS

In view of the Examiner's disapproval of the added Fig. 2 the Fig. 2 has been deleted. Any reference to it in the description and the claims has been removed.

Concerning the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 USC par. 112 because of the addition of the expression "container installation" this expression has been removed. The objections under par. 6, 7 and 8 appear to relate to the same matter and should also have been overcome by the removal of this expression.

Concerning the claim rejection under 35 USC par. 112 of the official action dated 02/22/05 the elbow lever structure and the arrangement of the elbow levers have been defined more clearly. Furthermore, the spring has been clearly defined as part of the cover.


Reconsideration of claims 1-3 as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 1-3 would appear be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112.

It is believed that the amendments introduced herewith overcome all the objections raised by the Examiner so that the claims should now be allowable.

Allowance of claims 1 - 3 as amended is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Klaus J. Bach
Reg. No. 26832
Cust. No.: 27956